

Probate cases on this calendar are currently under review by the probate examiners. Review of some probate cases may not be completed and therefore have not been posted.

If your probate case has not been posted please check back again later.

Thank you for your patience.

Atty

Case No. 0568599

Gene Raymond Gauss (CONS/E) Ca Kruthers, Heather H. (for Public Guardian – Conservator/Petitioner)

(1) Ninth Account Current and Report of Conservator and (2) Petition for Allowance of Compensation to Conservator and Attorney

Age: 77			PUBLIC GUARDIAN, Conservator, is Petitioner.		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			Account period: 03/01/12 - 02/28/14		According to the Court's records there are \$750.00 in unpaid Court
Сс	ont. from Aff.Sub.Wit.	<u> </u>	Beginning POH - \$1	55,650.95 66,058.78 42,942.25	Investigator fees.
✓	Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred.			,048.16 (8.6	
✓ ✓	Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail	w/	Attorney - \$1 than allowed per Local Rule)	, 250.00 (less	
	Aff.Pub.	W/	Bond fee - \$9	30.66 (ok)	
	Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report		 Petitioner prays for an Order: Approving, allowing and settling the Ninth Account; Authorizing the conservator and attorney's fees and commissions; and Authorizing payment of the bond fee. Court Investigator Dina Calvillo filed a report on 06/30/14. 		
✓ ————————————————————————————————————	2620(c) Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice	n/a			Reviewed by: JF Reviewed on: 08/22/14 Updates: Recommendation: File 1 – Gauss

Lessie Bradley (Estate)

Moore, Susan L. (for Administrator Doris A. Johnson)

(1) Petition for Settlement of First and Final Account; Petition for Final Distribution and for (2) Allowance of Ordinary and Extraordinary

DORIS A. JOHNSON, Administrator with Full IAEA with bond of \$75,000.00, is Petitioner.
Account period: 1-1-85 through 7-18-14 Accounting: \$35,000.00 Beginning POH: \$7,401.44 (cash) Administrator (Statutory): \$760.00 Administrator (Extraordinary): \$1,000.00 (for the sale of the real property) Attorney (Statutory): \$760.00 Attorney (
Aff.Sub.Wit. Administrator (Statutory): \$760.00 Administrator (Extraordinary): \$1,000.00 (for the sale of the real property) Attorney (Statutory): \$760.00 Attorney (Extraordinary): \$1,000.00 (for the sale of the real property) Administrator requests reimbursement) for the following expenses totaling \$13,835.31: \$\text{\$
✓ Verified Administrator (Statutory): \$760.00 post-deceased sibling Edna Cox: Darryl Cox. Cynthia Clanton, and Sharon Cox-Plousha. ✓ Inventory Administrator (Extraordinary): \$7,000.00 (for the sale of the real property) Attorney (Statutory): \$760.00 Attorney (Statutory): \$760.00 Inventory
✓ Inventory ✓ PTC Administrator (Extraordinary): \$1,000.00 (for the sale of the real property) ✓ Not.Cred. ✓ Notice of Hrg Hrg (for the sale of the real property) ✓ Aff.Mail W Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Objections Video Receipt CI Report ✓ Video Receipt CI Report ✓ Order Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Administration (Extraordinary): \$1,000.00 (for the sale of the real property) Attorney (Extraordinary): \$1,000.00 (for the sale of the real property) Attorney (Extraordinary): \$1,000.00 (for the sale of the real property) Attorney (Extraordinary): \$1,000.00 (for the sale of the real property) Attorney (Extraordinary): \$1,000.00 (for the sale of the real property) Attorney (Statutory): \$760.00 Attorney (Statutory): \$1,000.00 (for the sale of the real property) Administrator requests reimbursement) for the following expenses totaling \$13,835.31: Status Rpt
This invertibity (for the sale of the real property)
Attorney (Statutory): \$760.00 Attorney (Extraordinary): \$1,000.00 (for the sale of the real property) Aff.Mail w Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters 8-27-07 Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Attorney (Statutory): \$760.00 Attorney (Extraordinary): \$1,000.00 (for the sale of the real property) Administrator requests reimbursement) for the following expenses totaling \$13,835.31: \$8,419.43 for payments to City of Fresno for lien against the real property from 2001 through 2005 (see itemization) \$1,015.88 for property tax payments from 2002 through 2014 (see itemization) \$4,400.00 was paid for disking, weeding, and general cleanup; however, itemization is not provided since there is not sufficient cash in the estate for reimbursement of these expenses Petitioner states the estate is insolvent and the remaining balance after payment of closing expenses advanced for the benefit of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Status Rpt Petitioner prays for an order that: Administrator requests reimbursement) for the following expenses without any status report filed with the Court or served on heirs. This does not appear to have been a benefit to the estate; rather, it appears to have been address why the estate was not closed timely pursuant to Probate Code §12200. Examiner notes the following circumstances: SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES Reviewed by: skc Reviewed on: 8-22-14 Updates:
Attorney (Extraordinary): \$1,000.00 (for the sale of the real property) Aff. Mail w Aff. Pub. Sp. Ntc. Pers. Serv. Conf. Screen Letters 8-27-07 Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report Petitioner states the estate is insolvent and the remaining balance after payment of closing expenses should be distributed to Doris A. Johnson for reimbursement of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Aff. Posting Attorney (Extraordinary): \$1,000.00 (for the sale of the real property) Administrator requests reimbursement) for the following expenses totaling \$13,835.31: \$\$8,419.43 for payments to City of Fresno for lien against the real property from 2001 through 2005 (see itemization) \$\$\$\$1,015.88 for property tax payments from 2002 through 2014 (see itemization) \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$41,40.00 was paid for disking, weeding, and general cleanup; however, itemization is not provided since there is not sufficient cash in the estate for reimbursement of these expenses Petitioner states the estate is insolvent and the remaining balance after payment of closing expenses should be distributed to Doris A. Johnson for reimbursement of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Petitioner prays for an order that: 1. Administration requests reimbursement) for the following expenses, without any status report filed with the Court or served on heirs. This does not appear to have been a benefit to the estate; rather, it appears to have been detrimental. Petitioner does not addres why the estate was opened in 2007 and sat dormant for seven years, incurring expenses, without any status report filed with the Court or served on heirs. This does not appear to have been a benefit to the estate; rather, it appears to have been detrimental. Petitioner does not addres why the estate was opened in 2007 and sat dormant for seven years, incurring expenses, without any status report filed with the Court or served on heirs. This does not appear to have been detrimental. Petitioner does not addres why the estate was o
Sp.Ntc. Administrator requests reimbursement) for the following expenses totaling \$13,835.31: Sp.Ntc. Sp.Ntc. • \$8,419.43 for payments to City of Fresno for lien against the real property from 2001 through 2005 (see itemization) Conf. Screen • \$1,015.88 for property tax payments from 2002 through 2014 (see itemization) Duties/Supp • \$4,400.00 was paid for disking, weeding, and general cleanup; however, itemization is not provided since there is not sufficient cash in the estate for reimbursement of these expenses CI Report Petitioner states the estate is insolvent and the remaining balance after payment of closing expenses should be distributed to Doris A. Johnson for reimbursement of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA UCCJEA Administration of this estate be closed;
Administrator requests reimbursement) for the following expenses totaling \$13,835.31: Sp.Ntc.
Aff. Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers. Serv. Conf. Screen Letters 8-27-07 Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report Petitioner states the estate is insolvent and the remaining balance after payment of expenses should be distributed to Doris A. Johnson for reimbursement of expenses advanced for the benefit of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Status Rpt Wideo RAff. Posting Aff. Posting
Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Screen Status Rpt Sp.Ntc. S
Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen ✓ Letters 8-27-07 Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report ✓ 9202 Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Streen Pers.Serv. For lien against the real property from 2001 through 2005 (see itemization) Streen This does not appear to have been a benefit to the estate; rather, it appears to have been detrimental. Petitioner does not addres why the estate was not closed timely pursuant to probate Code §12200. Petitioner states the estate is insolvent and the remaining balance after payment of closing expenses should be distributed to Doris A. Johnson for reimbursement of expenses advanced for the benefit of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Petitioner prays for an order that: 1. Administration of this estate be closed;
Conf. Screen ✓ Letters 8-27-07 Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report ✓ 9202 ✓ Order Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA 2001 through 2005 (see itemization) 2002 through 2014 (see itemization) • \$1,015.88 for property tax payments from 2002 through 2014 (see itemization) • \$4,400.00 was paid for disking, weeding, and general cleanup; however, itemization is not provided since there is not sufficient cash in the estate for reimbursement of these expenses Petitioner states the estate is insolvent and the remaining balance after payment of closing expenses should be distributed to Doris A. Johnson for reimbursement of expenses advanced for the benefit of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Petitioner prays for an order that: 1. Administration of this estate be closed;
Screen Screen Streen Stree
 ✓ Letters 8-27-07 ✓ Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt ✓ CI Report ✓ 9202 ✓ Order Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA 12002 through 2014 (see itemization) S4,400.00 was paid for disking, weeding, and general cleanup; however, itemization is not provided since there is not sufficient cash in the estate for reimbursement of these expenses Petitioner states the estate is insolvent and the remaining balance after payment of closing expenses should be distributed to Doris A. Johnson for reimbursement of expenses advanced for the benefit of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Petitioner prays for an order that: Administration of this estate be closed;
Duties/Supp S4,400.00 was paid for disking, weeding, and general cleanup; however, itemization is not provided since there is not sufficient cash in the estate for reimbursement of these expenses CI Report ✓ 9202 ✓ Order Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA ■ Duties/Supp • \$4,400.00 was paid for disking, weeding, and general cleanup; however, itemization is not provided since there is not sufficient cash in the estate for reimbursement of these expenses Petitioner states the estate is insolvent and the remaining balance after payment of closing expenses should be distributed to Doris A. Johnson for reimbursement of expenses advanced for the benefit of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Petitioner prays for an order that: 1. Administration of this estate be closed;
Objections Video Receipt CI Report ✓ 9202 ✓ Order Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA And general cleanup; however, itemization is not provided since there is not sufficient cash in the estate for reimbursement of these expenses Petitioner states the estate is insolvent and the remaining balance after payment of closing expenses should be distributed to Doris A. Johnson for reimbursement of expenses advanced for the benefit of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Petitioner prays for an order that: 1. Administration of this estate be closed;
itemization is not provided since there is not sufficient cash in the estate for reimbursement of these expenses CI Report ✓ 9202 ✓ Order Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA itemization is not provided since there is not sufficient cash in the estate for reimbursement of these expenses Itemization is not provided since there is not sufficient cash in the estate for reimbursement of the estate is insolvent and the remaining balance after payment of closing expenses should be distributed to Doris A. Johnson for reimbursement of expenses advanced for the benefit of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Petitioner prays for an order that: 1. Administration of this estate be closed;
not sufficient cash in the estate for reimbursement of these expenses CI Report ✓ 9202 ✓ Order Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Not sufficient cash in the estate for reimbursement of these expenses In the estate for reimbursement of these expenses In the estate for reimbursement and the remaining balance after payment of closing expenses should be distributed to Doris A. Johnson for reimbursement of expenses advanced for the benefit of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Petitioner prays for an order that: 1. Administration of this estate be closed;
reimbursement of these expenses CI Report
Petitioner states the estate is insolvent and the remaining balance after payment of closing expenses should be distributed to Doris A. Johnson for reimbursement of expenses advanced for the benefit of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Status Rpt UCCJEA Petitioner states the estate is insolvent and the remaining balance after payment of closing expenses should be distributed to Doris A. Johnson for reimbursement of expenses advanced for the benefit of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Petitioner states the estate is insolvent and the remaining balance after payment of closing expenses should be distributed to Doris A. Johnson for reimbursement of expenses advanced for the benefit of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Petitioner states the estate is insolvent and the remaining balance after payment of closing expenses advanced for the benefit of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Reviewed by: skc Reviewed on: 8-22-14 Updates:
the remaining balance after payment of closing expenses should be distributed to Doris A. Johnson for reimbursement of expenses advanced for the benefit of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Status Rpt
Closing expenses should be distributed to Doris A. Johnson for reimbursement of expenses advanced for the benefit of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Status Rpt
Doris A. Johnson for reimbursement of expenses advanced for the benefit of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Status Rpt UCCJEA Petitioner prays for an order that: 1. Administration of this estate be closed; Doris A. Johnson for reimbursement of expenses advanced for the benefit of the estate by: skc Reviewed by: skc Reviewed on: 8-22-14 Updates:
Aff. Posting expenses advanced for the benefit of the estate to the extent of the remaining assets. Status Rpt Petitioner prays for an order that: 1. Administration of this estate be closed; 1. Administration of this estate be closed;
Status Rpt
UCCJEA 1. Administration of this estate be closed; UDdates: Updates:
1. Administration of this estate be closed;
Citation 2. The first and final account be approved; Recommendation:
N/A FTB Notice 3. Petitioner be allowed the sum of File 3 – Bradley
\$1,760.00 as its fee for ordinary and
extraordinary services to the estate;
4. Petitioner's attorney be allowed the sum of \$1,760.00 as its fee for ordinary and
extraordinary services to the estate;
5. All acts, transactions, sales, and
investments of the personal
representative be ratified, approved,
and confirmed; and
6. The remaining balance of the estate in
the amount of \$3,881.44 be distributed
to Petitioner as reimbursement for
expenses advanced to the benefit of the estate.
7

Page 2

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS (CONT'D):

 (Cont'd): The I&A filed in 2007 valued the real property at \$35,000.00 as of the decedent's date of death, which was in 1985; however, in 2007, the property's value was estimated at \$75,000.00.
 Bond was fixed at \$75,000.00 because Administrator was granted authority under IAEA to sell the property.

If the estate had been administered timely, there may have been proceeds available for distribution to the heirs. However, because it was not, the value decreased significantly between 2007 and 2014 and the Administrator incurred \$13,835.31 in expenses for which she now requests reimbursement, as well as expenses for bond premiums for seven years, for which she has apparently already reimbursed herself per the disbursements schedule.

Petitioner requests the Court approve all acts and transactions of the Administrator, without addressing these circumstances, and stating that her expenses incurred were beneficial to the estate. Need clarification.

- 2. Petitioner requests the full amount of statutory compensation as well as extraordinary compensation for herself and her attorney. The Court may require clarification with reference to the above circumstances and Probate Code §§ 12200 (noticed final account or report of status) and 12205 (Court may reduce compensation).
- 3. The Court may require notice to the surety for any further or continued hearing on this matter.

<u>Note</u>: Bond of \$75,000.00 was filed on 8-27-07 per Court records (Banner); however, the original bond does not appear to be in the file. The Court may require a copy of the bond for the file.

Burnside, Leigh W. (for Pamelyn S. Gingold – Co-Trustee – Petitioner)

Petition for Settlement of First Account of the Emelia C. Calnek Trust and the Three Minors Accounts by Co-Trustee, Pamelyn Sharley Gingold

		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENT	ſS:
		OFF CALENDAR	
		Dismissal as to Petition for Se	Warrant of
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	First Account entered 8-19-1	
>	Verified		
	Inventory	Dismissal as to Petition to Rer	nove entered
	PTC	8-1-14.	
	Not.Cred.		
>	Notice of		
	Hrg		
>	Aff.Mail		
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		
	Conf.		
	Screen		
	Letters		
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video		
	Receipt	 	
	CI Report		
	9202		
>	Order		
	Aff. Posting	Reviewed by: skc	
	Status Rpt	Reviewed on: 8-22-14	
	UCCJEA	Updates:	
	Citation	Recommendation:	
	FTB Notice	File 6 - Calneck	

Atty

8

Burnside, Leigh W. (for Petitioner Joshua Davis – Beneficiary)

Petition for Order Compelling Trustee to Account and Report

Thomas J. Davis			JOSHUA DAVIS, Beneficiary, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
DOD: 6-5-00 Wealthea Davis DOD: 3-25-98			Petitioner states he is a beneficiary of the Davis 1989 Family Trust dated 11-17-89 (the Trust) (Exhibit A). On or about the same date, Thomas and Wealthea Davis	Continued from 5-19-14, 6-18-14, 7-16-14 As of 8-22-14, nothing further has	
Со	nt from 051914	,	also created the Davis Family 1989 Life Insurance Trust (the Insurance Trust)	been filed.	
061	061814, 071614		(Exhibit B). The Family Trust became	SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		irrevocable on the settlors' deaths. The		
~	Verified		Insurance Trust was already irrevocable		
	Inventory		during their lifetimes. Petitioner states		
	PTC		BRUCE NEILSEN is the successor trustee of both trusts.		
	Not.Cred.		5011 110313.		
~	Notice of		Petitioner states that following the death		
	Hrg		of Thomas Davis on 6-5-00, Petitioner, by		
>	Aff.Mail	W	his agent and CPA Tom Bell, inquired of		
	Aff.Pub.		Trustee Neilsen on multiple occasions		
	Sp.Ntc.		about the nature of the Trust assets and timetable for distribution. Petitioner was		
	Pers.Serv.		aware that the decedents had owned		
	Conf.		real property in California, various stocks		
	Screen		and bonds, as well as other assets to		
	Letters		which Petitioner and the other named in		
	Duties/Supp		this petition were beneficiaries.		
	Objections		Petitioner has requested that Trustee		
	Video		Neilsen provide him with an account of		
	Receipt		his administration of the Trust, but Trustee		
	CI Report		Neilsen has not done so. Additionally,		
	9202		Petitioner believes portions of the trust		
~	Order		property that were to be held fbo Trust		
	Aff. Posting		beneficiaries and Insurance Trust beneficiaries have been used to make	Reviewed by: skc	
	Status Rpt		loans to beneficiaries other than	Reviewed on: 8-22-14	
	UCCJEA		Petitioner, all to the detriment of	Updates:	
	Citation		Petitioner and other beneficiaries who	Recommendation:	
	FTB Notice		may have lost their share of Trust and Insurance Trust assets as a result of the breach of his duties to the beneficiaries by Trustee Neilsen.	File 8 - Davis	
			SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES		

8 Davis 1989 Family Trust (Trust)

Case No. 14CEPR00298

Page 2

Petitioner states moreover, Trustee Neilsen has failed to require the execution of notes requirement repayments by the borrowers of the Trust and Insurance Trust assets, and/or that Trustee Neilsen has failed to require the repayment of principal and interest on the Trust and Insurance Trust monies by the borrowers, all to the detriment of Petitioner and the other beneficiaries.

Petitioner states the Trust estate was to be divided into 12 separate trusts immediately on the death of both settlors. Petitioner made inquiries of Trustee Neilsen as to what is held in the trust created for Petitioner, but Trustee Neilsen has not provided the requested information or any meaningful response. Petitioner is informed and believes that Trustee Neilsen has, without consent or knowledge of several of the beneficiaries, used Trust and/or Insurance Trust assets to fund business transactions initiated by other beneficiaries, all to the detriment of Petitioner and other beneficiaries.

Petitioner has been unable to determine what has been done with what portion of the Insurance Trust assets and the Trust assets which were to have been segregated from the rest of the Trust property and Insurance Trust property for Petitioner's benefit.

Petitioner requests the Court order as follows:

- 1. Directing Trustee Bruce Neilsen to prepare and file a complete account and report of his administration of the <u>Davis 1989 Family Trust</u> and the <u>Davis 1989 Life Insurance Trust</u> for the period of June 6, 2000 through March 31, 2014, inclusive;
- 2. Directing Trustee Bruce Neilsen to set the Account and Report for hearing and give notice of same pursuant to §17203;
- 3. Awarding Petitioner reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this matter; and
- 4. Granting any and all other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

Page 3

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

- This petition requests accountings for two separate trusts. The two separate trusts have separate terms, separate assets, and separate purposes, and as such consideration by the Court requires separate petitions, separate notice, separate files, separate filing fees, and ultimately separate accountings.
 - The Court may designate this case number as the Family Trust file and direct Petitioner to initiate a separate proceeding regarding the Life Insurance Trust.
- 2. Also, per its terms, the Family Trust was to immediately divide into <u>twelve (12) separate trusts</u>, only one of which was for Petitioner's benefit. Need clarification and authority regarding the scope of the request for accounting(s).
 - <u>Note</u>: The language in the instruments differentiates between division into separate <u>trusts</u> and into separate <u>shares</u>, as contemplated by the Life Insurance Trust.
- 3. Notice appears to have been mailed to six people as couples, rather than as individuals entitled to direct notice. The Court may require amended direct service pursuant to Cal. Rules of Court 7.51.
- 4. Probate Code §17200(b)(7) provides that the Court can compel the trustee to provide information or account if the trustee has failed to provide the requested information within 60 days after the beneficiary's reasonable written request. Here, Petitioner states that he requested information after the settlors' deaths, which was approx. 14 years ago, but Petitioner does not state if any recent written request was made pursuant to §17200(b)(7), or what response was received, if any, pursuant to the written request. The Court may require clarification as to whether this petition may be prematurely filed pursuant to §17200(b)(7) and may require continuance for formal request and response. (Note: The requests should be separated for each trust pursuant to the above items.)